Interview Summary

Application No. **09/904,875**

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Tu M No

074

Asanuma et al.

	i u M. Nguyen	3/40	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Tu M. Nguyen (examiner)	(3)		
(2) Paul Tsou (attorney)	(4)		
Date of Interview Dec 10, 2002	-		
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) 🛛 applicant's representative	:]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description	on:	
Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 5 Identification of prior art discussed: Suga et al. (U.S. Patent 5,811,364) and Matros et al. (U.S.	C. Paten 6,314,722)		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments: The arguments with respect to the motivation to combine the base claims 1 and 5 are allowable pending further sea	nature of what was agreed to if Matros et al. with Suga et al. are	an agreement w	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
i) 🗵 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the substance of t	he interview (if b	ox is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROSUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	EP section 713.04). If a reply to OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO F	the last Office a	action has NT OF THE

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Examiner's signature, of required